

DARRELL J. HARPER #1957729	§	
v.	§	CIVIL ACTION NO. 6:16cv218
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	§	

The Plaintiff Darrell Harper, proceeding *pro se*, filed this civil rights lawsuit complaining of alleged violations of his constitutional rights. This Court ordered that the case be referred to the United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §636(b)(1) and (3) and the Amended Order for the Adoption of Local Rules for the Assignment of Duties to United States Magistrate Judges. The sole named Defendant is the United States of America.

Harper states that he is suing under *Bivens v. Six Unknown Named Agents of the Federal Bureau of Narcotics* for civil and constitutional rights violations as well as retaliation, treason, and racketeering. He complains that the United States failed to protect him from being the victim of false disciplinary cases and retaliation by Texas prison officials. Harper further asserts that he is being forced to work in violation of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and prohibitions against slavery. He seeks \$100,000,000.00 in damages,

After review of the pleadings, the Magistrate Judge issued a Report recommending that the lawsuit be dismissed as barred by sanctions previously imposed upon Harper by the Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals. The Magistrate Judge further observed that the United States has sovereign immunity against civil rights lawsuits seeking monetary damages. Harper filed objections arguing that “bigotry violated Plaintiff’s constitutional rights to peaceably assemble and petition government for redress of grievances in violation of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 based on race (black) as

amended).” He did not address the Magistrate Judge’s proposed conclusions concerning the sanctions against him nor the sovereign immunity of the United States. Harper’s objections are without merit.

The Court has conducted a careful *de novo* review of those portions of the Magistrate Judge’s proposed findings and recommendations to which the Plaintiff objected. *See* 28 U.S.C. §636(b)(1) (District Judge shall “make a *de novo* determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made.”) Upon such *de novo* review, the Court has determined that the Report of the Magistrate Judge is correct and the Plaintiff’s objections are without merit. It is accordingly

**ORDERED** that the Plaintiff’s objections are overruled and the Report of the Magistrate Judge (docket no. 4) is **ADOPTED** as the opinion of the District Court. It is further

**ORDERED** that the above-styled civil action is **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE** as frivolous and as barred by sanctions previously imposed upon Harper by the Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals. It is further

**ORDERED** that any and all motions which may be pending in this action are hereby **DENIED**.

**So ORDERED and SIGNED this 16th day of April, 2017.**



---

Ron Clark, United States District Judge